

19/07/2017

To All,

MANY YEARS AGO I WAS TALKING TO A MAN ABOUT PHOBIAS. HIS WAS A FEAR OF BEING WRONGFULLY ACCUSED. I CAN TELL YOU NOW, THAT IS A TOUGH ONE, BUT FEDES COMPARED TO BEING WRONGFULLY CONVICTED, ESPECIALLY TWICE.

IN THE INTERVIEW WITH MIKE WHITE (NORTH SOUTH MAGAZINE), FOUR DAYS BEFORE THE VERDICT, HE ASKED ME FOR MY OPINION OF THE JURY IF THEY CAME BACK WITH A GUILTY VERDICT. I SAID THEN, AS I SAY NOW, THAT WHILE I CAN NEVER AGREE WITH THEIR DECISION, I WOULD HAVE TO RESPECT IT AS THEIR OPINION. FOR THAT IS ALL IT IS, THEIR 'OPINION'. IT IS WRONG AND AS A BELIEVER IN THE TRUTH I WILL ALWAYS FIGHT AGAINST IT. ANY INNOCENT MAN MUST.

I AM LUCKY TO HAVE WONDERFUL FRIENDS AND A GREAT LEGAL TEAM HELPING WITH MY FIGHT. FORTUNATELY THIS APPEAL HAS ONLY TAKEN TWO AND A HALF YEARS TO PUT TOGETHER PROPERLY. THE PRIVY COUNCIL APPEAL TOOK MORE THAN A DECADE. THE 17TH AND 18TH OF OCTOBER WILL SEE MY LATEST ATTEMPT AT OBTAINING JUSTICE.

I HAVE LEARNED THAT APPEALS ARE VERY DIFFERENT TO WHAT MOST PEOPLE THINK. YOU CAN'T JUST SAY THAT THE COURTS GOT IT WRONG BECAUSE OF ABC. IT ALL COMES DOWN TO 'POINTS OF LAW'. THIS REMINDS ME OF A QUOTE FROM THE FAMOUS US JURIST OLIVER WENDELL HOLMES; "THIS IS A COURT OF LAW, YOUNG MAN, NOT A COURT OF JUSTICE." IT IS A DIFFICULT ROAD TO TRAVEL, BUT IN THE NAME OF TRUTH, IT IS ONE THAT I DEFINITELY MUST TRAVEL.

PLEASE REMEMBER THAT THERE IS A VERY GOOD REASON FOR FIGHTING MY CONVICTION, I DID NOT AND COULD NOT COMMIT SUCH A HEINOUS CRIME, ESPECIALLY TO MY BELOVED CHRISTINE AND AMBER.

YOURS IN INNOCENCE



MARK LUNDY